Utah Special Education Program Improvement Planning System (UPIPS)

District Name:	Director of Special Educ	ration:
District TA:	<u>Timeline for Completion</u>	June 30, 2006
	Table of Contents	Indicator numbers
I. General Supervision		
	GS 1. District mechanisms to ensure FAPE GS 2. Personnel development	1-12 13–16
II. Parent Involvement		
	PI 1. Rights and responsibilities PI 2. Program improvement activities	17-26 27
III. Free Appropriate P	ublic Education in the Least Restrictive Environment	
• •	FL 1. Eligibility criteria and appropriate evaluations Prevalence by Disability Table (outcomes)	28-33
	FL 2. FAPE in LRE	34-47
	Graduation Rates Table Dropout Rates Table Placement by Disability Table Suspension and Expulsion Rates Table FL 3. Progress within UPASS Assessment Participation Table Assessment Performance Table	48-50
IV. Transitions		
	T 1. Part C to Part B T 2. Secondary	51-52 53-55
	1 2. Secondary	53-55
V. Disproportionality	D1. State Eligibility Criteria and Disproportionality Prevalence by Ethnicity Table (outcomes of Elig. Decision	56

AREA I. General Supervision

GS. Goal 1: Free Appropriate Public Education is available to all students in the district because the school district's monitoring system, other mechanisms for ensuring compliance, and parent and child protections are systematic and utilize data to develop corrective action plans and activities.

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
1 VI.A.	FORMS. Forms have been reviewed for minimum legal compliance with State Rules and approved by USOE.	-Off-site analysis by USOE	Date forms approved by Compliance Officer:		
VII.A.	POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. LEA has approved P/P, consistent with USOE Special Education Rules, and assurance that all children with disabilities residing in the school district are located, evaluated, identified, and provided FAPE.	-Off-site analysis by USOE	USOE TA approval date:		
3	CHILD FIND ACTIVITIES. LEA implements and coordinates Child Find, including: a. students suspected of being a SWD even though they are advancing from grade to grade b. highly mobile students with disabilities, including those who are homeless/migrant	-Off-site analysis by USOE	All Child Find activities implemented? ☐ Yes ☐ No USOE TA approval date:	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	
II.A.	 c. students enrolled in private schools by parents d. students in private residential settings 			[] Non-Compliant	

Indicator/	T., 30,	D-4- C	D!' D /		Action Needed	
Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	PIP Goal? CAP?	
4 VI.Q.	FEDERAL IDEA AND STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION MONIES. LEA uses funds in accordance with USOE Special Education Rules.	-Annual Audit Report	Annual financial report has no audit exceptions in special education.	[] Meets Requirements [] Non-Compliant		
			□ Yes □ No			
5	EVALUATION MATERIALS. LEA uses appropriate evaluation materials administered by appropriately trained personnel	-Off-site analysis by USOE	Are appropriate materials available?	Analysis:		
II.D. VII.I.	including: a. standardized evaluation instruments b. native language or other modes of communication c. parental input materials d. LEP/ELL assessment e. observation or CBA		□ Yes □ No	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant		
	QUALIFIED PERSONNEL. LEA		Are appropriate	Analysis:		
6	has appropriately and adequately		and adequately			
	trained personnel necessary to		trained			
VII.D.	carry out Part B of IDEA including:	A .1	personnel			
VII.E. VII.I.	 a. appropriate administrative staff for coordination and supervision of special education programs b. diagnostic and assessment 	-Administra- tive assignments -Administra- tive interview	available? □ Yes □ No			
	personnel including	-Off-site data				
VI.H.	designated psychological examiners (if any) c. sufficient numbers of qualified	analysis by USOE -CACTUS	□ Yes □ No	[] Strength		
Appendix A	teachers and related service providers to meet identified needs of SWD d. paraeducators used appropriately to assist in the provision of special education services (Job description, properly trained & supervised).	-Job description -Interview	☐ Yes ☐ No☐ Yes ☐ No	Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant		

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
	CASELOADS.	-Administra-	Are case load	Analysis:	
7	LEA oversees caseload of each	tive interview	limits with		
	special educator and adheres to	-Class lists	maximum	[] Strength	
	maximum limits.		allowable limits?	[] Meets	
				Requirements	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	[] Needs	
VII.G.				Improvement	
				[] Non-Compliant	
	CONFIDENTIALITY. LEA has	-Policy and	Are safeguards	Analysis:	
8	safeguards in place to protect the	Procedures	in place?		
	confidentiality of personally	Manual		[] Strength	
	identifiable information during its	-Authorized	☐ Yes ☐ No	[] Meets	
	collection, storage, disclosure, and	Access List		Requirements	
	destruction including a record of all	-Record of		[] Needs	
IV.W.	parties obtaining access to	Access		Improvement	
	educational records for a student.			[] Non-Compliant	
	COMPLAINT & DUE	-District data		Analysis:	
9	PROCESS DECISIONS.	-USOE data			
	Corrective actions specified as the			[] Strength	
IV.G.	result of formal complaint			[] Meets	
IV.J.	investigation, mediation, and due			Requirements	
IV.O.	process hearing are appropriately			[] Needs	
	implemented by the LEA within the			Improvement	
	required time limits.	т	T	[] Non-Compliant	
10	REG ED INTERVENTIONS.	-Interview	Interview data:	Analysis:	
10	LEA has a system for managing	-Forms		[] C(
	regular education interventions	-Record review	Record review	[] Strength	
	prior to referral for special	review -P/P manual		[] Meets	
II.B.	education evaluation.	-r/P IIIaiiuai	data:	Requirements [] Needs	
П.Б.				2 3	
				Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
				[] Non-Compilant	

Indicator/	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Nee	eded
Authority	mulcator	Data Sources	Daseille Data	LEA Allalysis	PIP Goal?	CAP?
	REFERRAL PROCESS.	-P/P Manual	Interview data:	Analysis:		
11	LEA has procedures for making a	-Forms				
	referral for individual evaluation.	-Interview		[] Strength		
		-Record	Record review	[] Meets		
		review	data:	Requirements		
				[] Needs		
II.C.				Improvement		
				[] Non-Compliant		
	LRBI COMMITTEE.	-List of	Documentation	Analysis:		
12	LEA has a local LRBI Committee	committee	provided of			
	that monitors the use of Level 3 and	members	LRBI committee			
1	4 interventions by IEP teams.	-Reports from	functioning.	[] Strength		
Appendix F		IEP teams	☐ Yes ☐ No	[] Meets		
II.A.		-Lower level		Requirements		
		interventions		[] Needs		
		used		Improvement		
		-Emergency		[] Non-Compliant		
		Contact Forms				
		on file				

GS. Goal 2: All members of the IEP team have access to personnel preparation and support activities that facilitate improved educational results for students with disabilities and the implementation of IDEA 2004.

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
	CSPD NEEDS ASSESSMENT.	-Surveys	Method for	Analysis:	
13	LEA has system to determine	-Interview	determining PD		
	personnel development & training	-District/Sp.	activities.	[] Strength	
	needed to support improved educational outcomes for SWD.	Ed. Mission		[] Meets Requirements	
VII.C.	educational outcomes for SWD.			[] Needs	
VII.C.				Improvement	
	UTILIZATION OF STATE	-Training log	Training data	Analysis:	
14	CSPD ACTIVITES. LEA uses, as	from UPDC			
	appropriate, State CSPD to train	-Training log		[] Strength	
	staff to meet needs of students with	from local		[] Meets	
	disabilities.	sources -Interviews		Requirements Needs	
VII.C.		-interviews		Improvement	
VII.C.				Improvement	
	INDUCTION SUPPORT. All new	-Surveys		Analysis:	
15	special education teachers and	-District logs			
	related service providers (0-3 years	-SIG grants		[] Strength	
Utah Code	of service) receive induction			[] Meets	
	support through CSPD efforts.			Requirements Needs	
				Improvement	
	IDEA PROCESSES &	-Interview	Interview data:	Analysis:	
16	REQUIREMENTS. IEP team				
	members understand the procedural		Record review:	[] Strength	
	safeguards in special education.			[] Meets	
VII.C.				Requirements	
VI.G.				[] Needs	
				Improvement	

II. Parent Involvement

PI. Goal 1: Parents and eligible youth with disabilities are aware of and have access to their rights and responsibilities within the system of parent and child protections.

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
17	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE. LEA uses approved notice.	-Off-site analysis by USOE	Date Procedural Safeguards Notice approved	Analysis: [] Meets Requirements	
IV.E.			by Compliance Officer:	[] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
18	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS. Procedural	-Record review	Record review data	Analysis:	
IV.E.	Safeguards notices are given to parents yearly as required by IDEA and State Rules, & upon initial referral/parent request for evaluation, upon receipt of state complaint, upon parent request.	-Interview	Interview data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
19	NOTICE OF MEETING. Parents are given opportunities to	-Record review	Record review data	Analysis:	
III.G.	participate in meetings with respect to the evaluation, identification, and provision of FAPE (including transition as appropriate) and educational placement of their student. Notice contains required elements.	-Interview -Parent focus group -Parent surveys	Interview data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
20	PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE. LEA provides written notice to parents of a SWD a reasonable	-Record review -Interview	Record review data	Analysis:	
IV.D.	time before the school proposes or refuses to initiate or change the evaluation, identification, FAPE or placement of the student (including graduation).		Interview data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
21 II.F.	COPIES. Parents are provided copies of the Evaluation Report, Documentation of Determination of Eligibility, and the IEP; and of other documents in which Prior Written Notice is embedded.	-Record review -Interview	Record review data Interview data	Analysis: [] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	PIP Goal: CAP:
22	PARENTAL CONSENT. Written parental consent is obtained prior to:	-Record review -Interview	Record review data	[] Non-Compliant Analysis:	
IV.F.	 a. conducting an initial evaluation or administering additional tests for reevaluation b. initial placement for provision of sp ed and related services c. placement related to a temporary diagnostic IEP 		Interview data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
23	PROGRESS REPORTS TO PARENTS. The IEP includes a statement of how the student's	-Record review -Interview	Record review data	Analysis:	
III.I.7.	progress towards annual goals will be measured and when periodic reports on progress will be provided.		Interview data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	
24	MAJORITY RIGHTS. Not later than one year before the student's 18 th birthday, the IEP must document that the student and	-Record review -Interview -Student focus	Record review data	Analysis:	
IV.V.	parent have been informed of the rights that will transfer to the student upon reaching the age of majority.	group	Interview data	[] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	

Indicator/ Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
25	PARENT TRAINING. Families receive training in their rights and	-Interview -Training logs	Interview data	Analysis:	
VI.G.	responsibilities within IDEA and the IEP process.	-Parent focus group -Parent surveys	Training data	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs	
26	UNDERSTANDABLE COMMUNICATION. Information is provided to families	-Interview -Samples of forms	Interview data Examples	Improvement Analysis: [] Strength	
III.F. IV.D.	in a variety of languages, formats and locations. Parent understands the proceedings.	-Record review	provided Training data	[] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	

PI. Goal 2: Programs and services for students with disabilities improve because parents are actively involved in program improvement activities.

Indicator/	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed	
Authority	mulcator	Data Sources	Daseille Data	LEA Allalysis	PIP Goal? CAP?	
	PARENT PARTICIPATION.	Attendance		Analysis:		
27	Parents participate in stakeholder	rosters				
300.650	activities (i.e., local self-					
	assessment committees, advisory	Committee				
UPIPS	panels, and steering committees) to	membership		[] Strength		
Manual	improve results for SWD.			[] Meets		
	_			Requirements		
				[] Needs		
				Improvement		
				_		

III. Free Appropriate Public Education in the Least Restrictive Environment

FL. Goal 1: The needs of students with disabilities are determined based upon state definitions, eligibility criteria and appropriate evaluation procedures.

Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action Needed PIP Goal? CAP?
	Trends	Analysis:	
		[] Strength[] Meets Requirements[] Needs Improvement	

	Prevalence						
Category	State Data December 1, 2003	Local Data December 1, 2003	National Data December 1, 2003				
Autism							
Communication Disordered							
Deaf-Blindness							
Developmental Delay							
Emotionally Disturbance							
Hearing Impairment/Deafness							
Intellectual Disability							
Multiple Disabilities							
Orthopedic Impairment							
Other Health Impairment							
Specific Learning Disabilities							
Traumatic Brain Injury							
Visual Impairment							
Total Students with Disabilities							

Indicator	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action
Authority				, and the second	PIP? CAP?
	EVALUATION TIMELINES.	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:	
29	Timely evaluations &	-Interview	data:		
	reevaluations are given by			[] Strength	
	qualified staff.			[] Meets	
			Interview data:	Requirements	
II.E.				[] Needs	
				Improvement	
				[] Non-Compliant	
30	DETERMINATION OF	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:	
	NEEDED DATA FOR		data:	5336	
	EVALUATION/			[] Meets	
II.E	REEVALUATION. Evaluation			Requirements	
	team, including parents, reviews			[] Non-Compliant	
	existing eligibility data.				
	EVALUATION	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:	
31	PROCEDURES.	-Interview	data:		
	Variety of tools used, consider			5.3.6	
	language & communication			[] Strength	
	issues, motor issues in selecting		Interview data:	[] Meets	
***	assessments. Administered by			Requirements	
II.D.	trained personnel. All areas			[] Needs	
	related to suspected disability			Improvement	
	assessed and identify all needs.		D 1 .	[] Non-Compliant	
22	ELIGIBILITY	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:	
32	DETERMINATION.	-Interview	data:		
	A group of qualified			F 3 C/ /1	
	professionals, including parents,		I	[] Strength	
	determine eligibility for special		Interview data:	[] Meets	
пе	education services based upon			Requirements	
II.F.	categorical eligibility criteria, the			[] Needs	
	effect of disability on educational			Improvement	
	performance and the need for			[] Non-Compliant	
	special education and related				
	services.				

Indicator	Indicator Data Sources Baseline Data		LEA Analysis	Action		
Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Daseille Data	LEA Alialysis	PIP?	CAP?
	INDEPENDENT	-Off-site data	Information	Analysis:		
33	EDUCATIONAL	-P/P manual	available from			
	EVALUATION. LEA has		LEA.	[] Meets		
	information available as to where			Requirements		
	an Independent Educational		□ Yes □ No	[] Needs Improvement		
IV.C.	Evaluation may be obtained &			[] Non-Compliant		
	criteria for evaluation.					

FL. Goal 2: All students with disabilities receive a free appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment that promotes a high quality education and prepares them for employment and independent living.

Indicator	Date Sources Baseline Dat		LEA Analysis	Action		
Indicator	Date Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	PIP?	CAP?	
GRADUATION RATE. LEA	 See Table		Analysis:			
high school graduation rate for	Below₩		-			
students with disabilities is						
comparable to graduation rate for			[] Strength			
non-disabled students.			[] Meets			
			Requirements			
			[] Needs			
			Improvement			

Graduation Rates

	State Data 2002-2003	Local Data 2002-2003	National Data 2002-2003
General Education Students			
Special Education Students			

DROP OUT RATE.	� See Table Below �	Analysis:	
LEA dropout rate for students			
with disabilities is comparable to		Performance:	
dropout rate for non-disabled		[] Strength	
students.		[] Meets Requirements	
		[] Needs Improvement	
		_	

Dropout Rates

	State Data 2002-2003	Local Data 2002-2003	National Data 2002-2003
General Education Students			
Special Education Students			

Indicator	Indicator	Date Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action	
Authority	mulcutor	Date Sources	Dascinic Data	EEE THAIS	PIP?	CAP?
	LRE/PLACEMENT.	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:		
36	Students is placed in neighborhood	-Interview	data:			
	school & not removed from general			[] Strength		
	education classrooms solely due to			[] Meets		
	needed modifications in general		Interview data:	Requirements		
	curriculum. Educational placement			[] Needs		
III.R.	is reviewed annually.			Improvement		
	•			[] Non-Compliant		
	LRE/PLACEMENT.	See Table		Analysis:		
37	The percentage of students with	Below₩				
	disabilities ages 3-21 served at			[] Strength		
	each point of the continuum of			[] Meets		
	placement options is comparable to			Requirements		
	state data.			[] Needs		
				Improvement		

Placement/LRE of Preschool Students with Disabilities by Disability 3-5

December 1, 2003		A Special Education B		Itinerant Services Part time Early C Childhood/ Part time Sp Ed D		Residential Facility E		Separate School F				
	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local
Category												
Autism												
Communication Disordered												
Deaf-Blindness												
Developmental Delay												
Emotionally Disturbance												
Hearing Impairment/Deafness												
Intellectual Disability												
Multiple Disabilities												
Orthopedic Impairment												
Other Health Impairment												
Specific Learning Disabilities												
Traumatic Brain Injury												
Visual Impairment												
Total Students with Disabilities												

Placement/LRE of School Age Students with Disabilities by Disability ages 6-21

	0-60 N	linutes of	61-179 N	Ainutes	≥180 N	Minutes	Homel	ound-	Sepa	arate
	Sp	ecial	of Spe	ecial	of Sp	pecial	Hos	pital	faci	lity
December 1, 2003	Edu	cation	Educa	ition	Educ	eation	Ι		I	Ξ
	Se	ervice	Serv	ice	Ser	vice				
		A	В		(C				
	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local	State	Local
Category										
Autism										
Communication Disordered										
Deaf-Blindness										
Developmental Delay										
Emotionally Disturbance										
Hearing										
Impairment/Deafness										
Intellectual Disability										
Multiple Disabilities										
Orthopedic Impairment										
Other Health Impairment										
Specific Learning										
Disabilities										
Traumatic Brain Injury										
Visual Impairment										
Total Students with										
Disabilities										

	Indicator Date Sou		Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action	
	mulcator	Date Sources	Daseille Data	LEA Alialysis	PIP?	CAP?
	SUSPENSION AND	♦ See Table		Analysis:		
38	EXPULSION RATES. Students	Below₩				
	with disabilities are removed from			[] Strength		
	school at rates no higher than			[] Meets		
	those for students without			Requirements		
VI.J.	disabilities.			[] Needs		
				Improvement		

Suspension and Expulsion Rates

	State Data 2002-2003	Local Data 2002-2003
General Education Students		
Special Education Students		

Indicator#	Indicator	Date Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action
Authority	IEP TIMELINES. The IEP	-Record	Record review	Analysis:	PIP? CAP?
45	Team develops and revises each	review	data:	Allarysis.	
43	student's IEP as necessary.	-Interview	uata.		
	a. IEP developed within 30	-Interview			
	days of initial eligibility		Interview data:	[] Strength	
	determination.		interview data.	[] Meets	
III.H.	b. IEP contains projected			Requirements	
III.R.	date for beginning of services.			[] Needs	
	c. IEP reviewed not less than			Improvement	
	annually.			[] Non-Compliant	
	d. Initial services begin ASAP				
	following IEP development.				
	DISCIPLINE.	-Record	Record review	Analysis:	
46	The LEA implements	review	data:		
	appropriate procedures for	-Interview		[] Strength	
	disciplining students with			[] Meets	
	disabilities and has a system for		Interview data:	Requirements	
	keeping disciplinary records.			[] Needs	
***				Improvement	
V.	CDECLAL EL CEODO EL VED	ъ 1	D 1 '	[] Non-Compliant	
45	SPECIAL FACTORS. The IEP	-Record	Record review	Analysis:	
47	Team considers the following	review	data:		
	factors when reviewing and	-Interview			
	revising the IEP as appropriate: a. behavioral strategies,				
	including positive				
	interventions for the student				
	whose behavior impedes				
	his/her learning or that of				
	others.				
	b. language needs for English		Interview data:		
	Language Learners. (LEP)				
	c. Braille instruction for the				
	student who is blind or			[] Strength	
	visually impaired.			[] Meets	
	d. communication needs:			Requirements	
III.H.	(1) for all students, and			[] Needs	
III.M.	(2) for students who are deaf			Improvement	
	or hard of hearing incl. language			[] Non-Compliant	
	/communication methods/				
	modes with peers and staff.				
	e. assistive technology				
	devices/services for the				

student who without them would not benefit from		
special education		
f. extended school year services		

FL. Goal 3: Students with disabilities make continuous progress within the State system for educational accountability (U-PASS).

Indicator Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action PIP? CAP?
48	PARTICIPATION RATE. Students with disabilities participate in state- and district-	See TableBelow		Analysis:	
VI.E.	wide assessment programs, with appropriate accommodations & modifications as needed, at a rate comparable to state and national data.			[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	
49	PARTICIPATION RATE/ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT. Students with			Analysis:	
VI.D.	disabilities participate in alternate assessments at a rate comparable to state and national data.			[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	

Assessment	Standard A	dministration		ration with nodations	Alternate Assessment		
	State	District	State	District	State	District	
Language Arts 3							
Language Arts 4							
Language Arts 5							
Language Arts 6							
Language Arts 7							
Language Arts 8							
Language Arts 10							
Mathematics 3							
Mathematics 4							
Mathematics 5							
Mathematics 6							
Mathematics 7							
Pre-Algebra							
Geometry							
Algebra II							
Applied Mathematics I							
Applied Mathematics II							

Indicator Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis and Performance Level	Action PIP? CAP?
	PERFORMANCE RESULTS.	See Table	See Table	Analysis:	
50	Students with disabilities	Below₩	Below₩	-	
	improve performance results at a				
	rate that decreases any gap			[] Strength	
	between students with			[] Meets Requirements	
VI.D.	disabilities and their non-			[] Needs Improvement	
	disabled peers.				

Performance Results, in per cent of total test takers, on U-PASS Core Assessments (CRT and UAA) 2004-2005

	4 ((Substantia	<u>l)</u>	3	(Sufficien	t)	2 (Pa	artial Mast	tery)	1 (Mi	inimal Mas	stery)
	Gen Ed	Sp Ed	Gap	Gen Ed	Sp Ed	Gap	Gen Ed	Sp Ed	Gap	Gen Ed	Sp Ed	Gap
Language Arts 1		_	_			_		_				
Language Arts 2												
Language Arts 3												
Language Arts 4												
Language Arts 5												
Language Arts 6												
Language Arts 7												
Language Arts 8												
Language Arts 9												
Language Arts 10												
Language Arts 11												
Mathematics 1												
Mathematics 2												
Mathematics 3												
Mathematics 4												
Mathematics 5												
Mathematics 6												
Mathematics 7												
Pre-Algebra												
Geometry												
Algebra II												
Applied Math I												
Applied Math II												

Performance Results, in per cent of total test takers, on UBSCT 2004-2005

		Sp	Ed		Gen Ed				
	Pas	sed	Did Not Pass		Passed		Did Not Pass		
	State LEA		State	LEA	State	LEA	State	LEA	
10th Grade									
11 th Grade									
12 th Grade									

IV. Transitions

T. Goal 1: Children exiting Part C receive the services they need by their third birthday, when appropriate.

Indicator#	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action GAPS
Authority				, and the second	PIP? CAP?
51	3-3 TRANSITION. All children exiting Part C who are eligible for Part B services receive special	-Record review	Record review analysis	Analysis:	
	education and related services through IEPs by their third birthday.			[] Meets Requirements [] Needs Improvement	
III.Z.				[] Non-Compliant	
52	TRANSITION PLANNING. A school district representative participates in transition planning	-Record review -Interview	Record review analysis	Analysis:	
	meetings with Early Intervention provider. EI representative is invited to first IEP meeting upon			[] Strength [] Meets Requirements [] Needs	
III.Z.	parent request.			Improvement [] Non-Compliant	

T. Goal 2: All students with disabilities, beginning at age 16, or younger when appropriate, receive individualized, coordinated transition services, designed within an outcome-oriented process that promotes movement from school to post-school activities.

Indicator Authority	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action PIP? CAP?
53	TRANSITION, POST- SECONDARY. Beginning not later than first IEP to be in effect at age 16, IEPs include	-Record review -Interview	Record review analysis:	Analysis: [] Strength [] Meets Requirements	
III.I.	appropriate measurable post- secondary goals based on age appropriate transition assessments related to: a. training		analysis:	[] Needs Improvement [] Non-Compliant	

	b. education c. employment d. independent living skills (where appropriate)				
	TED A MICHENONI POCTE	D 1	D 1	A 1	
54	TRANSITION, POST- SECONDARY. IEP contains transition services needed,	-Record review -Interview	Record review analysis:	Analysis:	
111.1	including course of study, to reach those goals.		Interview analysis:	[] Strength [] Meets Requirements	
III.I.				[] NeedsImprovement[] Non-Compliant	
55	SUMMARY OF	-Record review	Record review	Analysis:	
	PERFORMANCE. When the	-Interview	analysis:		
	student graduates or ages out, the				
	LEA provides the student with a		Interview	[] Strength	
	summary of the student's		analysis:	[] Meets Requirements	
	academic achievement and			[] Needs	
	functional performance, including recommendations on how to assist			Improvement	
	the student in meeting the			[] Non-Compliant	
	student's post-secondary goals.				
	student a post-secondary goals.		<u> </u>		

D. Goal 1: Students are identified as eligible under IDEA following school district and state policies and procedures that ensure those from ethnic and racial minority backgrounds are not over identified.

V. Disproportionality

Indicator	Indicator	Data Sources	Baseline Data	LEA Analysis	Action N	leeded
Authority	indicator	Data Sources	Daseille Data	LEA Analysis	PIP?	CAP?
	DISPROPORTIONALITY OF	See Table		Analysis:		
56	ETHNIC GROUPS. The	Below₩				
	percentage of students with					
	disabilities identified by					
	race/ethnicity in each disability			[] Strength		
	category is at a rate comparable to			[] Meets Requirements		
	the demographic distribution in			[] Needs Improvement		
	the LEA.					

Prevalence by Ethnicity Dec. 1, 2003

					Dec. 1, 20						1	
		Indian or	Asian or Pacific			African	Hispanic	or Latino		nite	To	tal
Category	Alaskar	Native	Islar	nder	Ame	rican	F		(Not Hi	spanic)		
General Education												
Population												
Total Students with												
Disabilities												
	District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State
Autism												
Communication												
Disordered												
Deaf-Blindness												
Developmental Delay												
Emotionally Disturbance												
Hearing												
Impairment/Deafness												
Intellectual Disability												
Multiple Disabilities												
Orthopedic Impairment												
Other Health Impairment												
Specific Learning												
Disabilities												
Traumatic Brain Injury												
Visual Impairment												